

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/981,654		01/08/1998	YASUSHI KANEKO	971480	8315
23850	7590	05/07/2002			
		ESTERMAN & HA	EXAMINER		
1725 K ST SUITE 100)O		NGUYEN, DUNG T		
WASHING	JION, DC	20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871		
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/07/2002)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 08/981,654

Kaneko et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Dung Nguyen

Art Unit **2871**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address					
	for Reply		_						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE _	3	MONTH(S) FROM					
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event, howev	er, may a re	eply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing	mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.								
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app	oly and will expire SIX	X (6) MONT	THS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- Any re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causiply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date								
earned Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 19, 2</u>								
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	tion is non-fina	l.						
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposi	tion of Claims								
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-18</u>			is/are pending in the application.					
4	1a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>4-18</u>			is/are withdrawn from consideratio					
5)□	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.					
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1 and 3			is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.					
8) 🗆	Claims		are sub	ject to restriction and/or election requirement					
Applica	ation Papers								
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			l					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re a accep	ted or t	opjected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	drawing(s) be he	eld in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: aD	approved by disapproved by the Examine					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply								
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.		!					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 3	5 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			<i>#</i> .					
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been receiv	ed.	!					
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been receiv	ed in Ap	plication No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority depplication from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).) .					
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).									
a) L The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
15)		; priority under	30 0.0	.C. 33 120 and/or 121.					
Attachm	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	Summary (P	PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		ent Application (PTO-152)					
3) 🔲 In	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
				•					

Application/Control Number: 08/981,654

Art Unit: 2871

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated 02/19/2002 has been received and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amstutz et al., US Patent No. 4,634,229, in view of Furuta, US Patent No. 5,699,133, as stated in the previous office action.

Although claims 1 is now amended, such amendment "liquid crystal device performs white display utilizing birefringence of said liquid crystal when voltage is not applied thereto, and performs black display when driven" is not sufficient to overcome its rejection in the previous office action.

Applicant is reminded that Amstutz et al. do disclose that axes of the polarizing plates are orthogonal to each other as shown in figure 4 (i.e., $\beta + \gamma = 90^{\circ}$). In other words, the Amstutz et al. LCD can be operated in normally-white (i.e., liquid crystal device performs white display utilizing birefringence of said liquid crystal when voltage is not applied thereto, and performs black display when driven by applying a voltage)

Application/Control Number: 08/981,654

Art Unit: 2871

In response to Applicants' argument that Amstutz et al. do not disclose the applying voltage of 10V to 20V, the Examiner agrees that Applicants' view point; however, Furuta does disclose that the applied voltage can be 10 to 20V (figure 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply a voltage as shown by Furuta in the Amstutz et al. device since it is a common practice in the art to use a high voltage for a liquid crystal shutter in order to obtain a high light transmission (col. 3, ln. 26).

Accordingly, the rejection of claims 1 and 3 stand.

Conclusion

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 02/19/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as stated above.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/981,654

Art Unit: 2871

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 05/01/2002 William L. Sikes
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 2871